On global health research inequalities Dónal P O'Mathúna, BSc(Pharm), MA, PhD School of Nursing Dublin City University donal.omathuna@dcu.ie

Presented at the Global Justice & Human Rights PSA Specialist Group¹ 58th UK Political Studies Association Conference 1-3 April 2008

Abstract

Research articles and funding applications for health care interventions typically begin with justifications for the research. Many assume that the more people affected by an illness and the more serious its impact, the greater the ethical justification for funding and conducting that research. However, a number of reports published since 1990 have found that many highly prevalent diseases in the world receive little or no attention from health researchers and their funders. Given the devastation caused by these diseases, those in the developed countries have a moral obligation to attempt to ameliorate some of these negative effects. However, the current system of health research funding and reward for innovation often works against relieving the diseases of poverty. This permits the existence of the 10/90 gap—the discrepancy between disease burden and research investment. It will be argued that allowing the 10/90 gap to perpetuate is a violation of people's human rights. Research projects focused primarily on the health concerns of developed countries could be expanded to include developing world concerns. A number of projects are being developed to address the current imbalance. These should be encouraged and expanded upon. However, the 10/90 gap will only be bridged when the moral imperative to care for all our fellow humans is appreciated and acted upon.

Introduction

Research articles and funding applications for health care interventions typically begin with justifications for the research. The authors will describe the potential impact of the intervention, often by noting the personal suffering or economic cost of the illness or disability. The number of people with the illness will often be included to lend weight to the importance of funding this research or publishing its results. Underlying this approach is a common-sense assumption that the more people affected by an illness, and

¹ This presentation is an expanded version of O'Mathúna DP. Decision-making and health research: ethics and the 10/90 Gap. *Research Practitioner*. 2007;8:164-172.

the more serious its impact, the greater the ethical justification for funding and conducting that research.

That common sense intuition would appear to apply on a global scale also. If half the world was afflicted by a particular disease, it would be logical to direct a sizeable proportion of the world's resources to developing or distributing treatments for that disease. It would seem unethical to do otherwise.

However, a number of reports published since 1990 have found that many highly prevalent diseases in the world receive little or no attention from health researchers and their funders. Given the devastation caused by these diseases, some are saying that the current system of health research funding and reward leads to the violation of people's human rights. Thomas Pogge, Professor of Political Science at Columbia University, is a vocal critic of the current system. He has stated, 'The governments and citizens of the high-income countries could and should know that most of the current premature mortality and morbidity is avoidable through feasible and modest reforms.' As such, given the disparity and unfairness that I will discuss in the funding of health care research, this is an example of global injustice.

The 10/90 Gap

The discrepancy between disease burden and research investment is called the 10/90 gap. The term was coined to convey the findings of a report published in 1990 by the Commission on Health Research for Development. This landmark report found that while 93 percent of the burden of premature mortality is borne by the developing world, only about 5 percent of the world's investment in health research is directed towards the health problems of the developing world. That disparity has since been rounded off to give 'the 10/90 gap.' The term has been expressed in a number of different ways: that only 10 percent of the world's investment in health research is directed towards 90 percent of the world's health problems; that less than 10 percent of global funding for health research is spent on diseases that afflict 90 percent of the world's population.

The important thing with the phrase is not its numerical precision. Some calling for changes acknowledge that the number may be overstated.⁶ Yet the problem is real and of immense proportions. Eighteen million people die prematurely each year from medical

² Pogge TW. Human rights and global health: a research program. *Metaphilosophy*. 2005;36:199.

³ Commission on Health Research for Development. *Health Research: Essential Link to Equity in Development*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1990.

⁴ Ramsay S. No closure in sight for the 10/90 health-research gap. *Lancet*. 2001;358:1348.

⁵ Vidyasagar D. Global notes: the 10/90 gap disparities in global health research. *Journal of Perinatology*. 2006;26:55-56.

⁶ Pogge, Human rights, 182-209.

conditions for which cures exist.⁷ This is roughly one third of all human deaths. About 11 million of these deaths are of infants and children.⁸ The numbers of people who suffer directly from having these diseases runs into the hundreds of millions, with hundreds of millions more impacted through the suffering and death of those within their families. Almost all of this avoidable mortality and morbidity occurs in poor developing countries. Table 1 lists some of the most common causes of death from illnesses for which cures exist.

Table 1. Causes of Avoidable Deaths⁹

Conditions Leading to Avoidable Deaths	Deaths in 2002
Respiratory infections (mainly pneumonia)	3,963,000
HIV/AIDS	2,777,000
Perinatal conditions	2,462,000
Diarrhoea	1,798,000
Tuberculosis	1,566,000
Malaria	1,272,000
Childhood diseases (mainly measles)	1,124,000
Maternal conditions	510,000
Malnutrition	485,000
Sexually transmitted diseases	180,000
Meningitis	173,000
Hepatitis	157,000

⁷ World Health Organization. *The World Health Report 2004: Changing History*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2004. Available at: www.who.int/whr/2004/en/index.html. Accessed August 22, 2007.

⁸ Pang T, Pablos-Mendez A, Usselmuiden C. From Bangkok to Mexico: towards a framework for turning knowledge into action to improve health systems. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2004;82:720-722.

⁹ World Health Organization. *The World Health Report 2004: Changing History*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2004. Available at: www.who.int/whr/2004/en/index.html. Accessed August 22, 2007.

Tropical diseases	129,000

People in the developing world are intensely burdened by disease. The interrelationship between health, poverty and development is complicated. For these countries to develop, poverty and disease must be tackled. Health research must play an important role in any strategy attempting to ameliorate conditions in these countries. The motivations to bring about the necessary changes can be many. One of the more compelling is the moral duty those of us who live in the wealthier nations have to prevent harm. As Pogge has argued:

The present world is characterized not merely by radical inequality as defined, but also by the fact that 'the better-off enjoy significant advantages in the use of a single natural resource base from whose benefits the worse-off are largely, and without compensation, excluded.' The better-off—we—are *harming* the worse-off insofar as the radical inequality we uphold excludes the global poor from a proportional share of the world's natural resources and any equivalent substitute. ¹⁰The 10/90 gap seeks to draw attention to global inequities in investment in health research. The continuation of these inequities leads to harm across the world. For example, malaria, pneumonia, diarrhoea, and tuberculosis are among the leading causes of avoidable death and together account for 21% of the global disease burden. Yet they receive 0.3% of all public and private funds invested in health research. ¹¹ Because of these gross disparities, there is an urgent need to examine ways to bring about change so that the rights of those being harmed by the current situation can be affirmed.

The Gap in Drug Development

A more detailed example is warranted. In 2002, an analysis of drug development over the previous 25 years was published. This report concluded that despite 'an everincreasing need for safe, effective, and affordable medicines' to treat the diseases of the developing world, 'drug development [for these diseases] has virtually stopped.' The authors defined 'neglected diseases' as infectious and parasitic diseases (excluding HIV/AIDS) that primarily effect poor people in the developing world. Some of the most common ones are listed in Table 2, along with 2005 estimates of how many people they infect.

¹⁰ Pogge TW. Real world justice. *Journal of Ethics*. 2005;9:40.

¹¹ Global Forum for Health Research. *The 10/90 Report on Health Research 2003-2004*. Geneva, Switzerland: Global Forum for Health Research; 2004. Available at: www.globalforumhealth.org. Accessed August 21, 2007.

¹² Trouiller P, Olliaro P, Torreele E, Orbinski J, Laing R, Ford N. Drug development for neglected diseases: a deficient market and a public-health policy failure. *Lancet*. 2002;359:2188.

Table 2. Common Neglected Developing World Diseases and their Impact¹³

Tuoic 2. Com	Treglected Bevel	oping World Diseases	and then impact
Disease	Infecting Agent	Impact	Treatment issues
Tuberculosis	Mycobacterium tuberculosis, often in combination with HIV	2 million deaths annually	Diagnostic limitations; treatment is long and multi- drug; access and compliance poor
Malaria	Plasmodium spp.	2 billion at risk; 250 million cases annually; 1 million annual deaths	Treatments available; overcoming drug resistance
Schistosomiasis	Schistosoma spp.	>200 million infections	Diagnostic limitations and drug resistance; no vaccine
Lymphatic filariasis (elephantiasis)	Wuchereria bancrofti	120 million infected	Treatment available
Dengue fever	Mosquito Aedes aegypti	50 million new annually	No treatment or vaccine
Onchocerciasis (river blindness)	Onchocerca volvulus carried by blackflies	37 million	Treatment available
Trypanosomiasis (sleeping sickness; Chagas disease)	T. brucei (sleeping sickness); T. cruzi (Chagas disease)	Sleeping sickness: 0.5 million; Chagas: 16 million	Current drugs are toxic and not available orally
Leishmaniasis	Leishmania spp.	12 million infected, with 2 million new cases annually	Safe, oral drug needed; resistance a problem
Giardiasis/amebiasis	Giardia lamblia; Entamoeba histolytica	Millions of cases annually	Treatments not tolerated well
Leprosy	Mycobacterium leprae	About 0.5 million	Treatment available

¹³ Tropical Disease Research. *Making Health Research Work for Poor People: Progress 2003-2004*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2005.

Between 1975 and 2004, a total of 1556 new chemical entities were marketed.¹⁴ Only 1% of these new drugs were directed at these neglected diseases, despite the fact that they constituted 11.4% of the total global disease burden. The 16 new drugs for neglected diseases developed before 1999 were later listed on the World Health Organization (WHO) Essential Drugs List, an indication of the significance of their development.¹⁵ Less than 2% of all the other new drugs developed during the same period became part of the WHO list. A further measure of the lack of impact of most new drugs is that the overall innovation index for 1975 to 1999 was 0.313. This means that 68.7% of the new drugs had little or no therapeutic gain compared to what was already on the market. The motivation for their development appears to have been more about profitmaking than relieving disease and suffering through medical innovation.

The overall burden of a disease can be quantified in what is called disability adjusted life years (DALYs). This score is the number of years of life lost due to disability or premature death. ¹⁶ Non-infectious respiratory diseases (like asthma) make up 4.5% of the global disease burden and generate \$307 million per million DALY. Tropical diseases contribute 9.4% of the global disease burden and generate \$3 million per million DALY. In 1999, the pharmaceutical industry invested about \$3.5 billion into research on all infectious diseases; total investment by industry and the public sector into research on infections prevalent in the developing world (malaria, tuberculosis, leishmaniasis, and African trypanosomiasis) was \$70 million.

One side of the 10/90 gap is exemplified by the lack of investment in diseases like the parasitic infections of developing countries. The other side is the burden of these diseases. The following summarizes well the current situation.

Parasitic diseases affect hundreds of millions of people worldwide and result in significant mortality and devastating social and economic consequences. Nevertheless, most of the drugs available to treat these diseases are decades old and are frequently limited in efficacy, plagued by severe side effects and poor patient compliance, or hamstrung by drug resistance. Few, if any, of the currently available drugs for parasitic diseases would pass through even a discovery-stage screening funnel today, let alone preclinical and clinical development.¹⁷

Sleeping sickness exemplifies some of the problems in this area. The incidence of the infection is on the wane, though thousands still die from the infection every year in Africa. The disease itself is complex, with acute and chronic forms, and early and late

¹⁶ Hotez PJ, Ferris MT. The antipoverty vaccines. *Vaccine*, 2006;24:5787-5799.

¹⁴ Chirac P, Torreele E. Global framework on essential health R&D. *Lancet*. 2006;367:1560-1561.

¹⁵ Trouiller, Drug development, 2188-2194.

¹⁷ Renslo AR, McKerrow JH. Drug discovery and development for neglected parasitic diseases. *Nature Chemical Biology*. 2006;2:701.

stages. Different subspecies of the infecting organism lead to the different forms and are carried by different domestic and wild animals. A person in the early stage can be infected for years without showing symptoms. Current drug treatments are often unavailable, difficult to administer, and toxic. Melarsoprol was developed over 50 years ago and causes death in up to 10% of those who take it. Resistance to this drug is also on the increase. Eflornithine is another drug, but it is only effective against the more virulent subspecies that causes the acute form of the disease. The drug is expensive to manufacture and its production was stopped in 1995 for commercial reasons. However, the drug became available again in 2000 after it was found to have an unexpected side-effect: it reduces unwanted facial hair in women. Production was restarted because the drug was now seen as financially viable through the cosmetics market. Producers of the drug have donated it to treat sleeping sickness in Africa.

This example highlights problems with the current situation where development of innovative health care products occurs only when producers are able to recoup their investments through market sales. Commercial companies must remain financially viable to stay in existence. But for many diseases around the world, those who need the health care resources may never be able to pay for them. This then leads to a lack of research and development in diseases with a large global disease burden. One response is for public organisations to fund such research. That is happening, for example, through the Tropical Disease Research programme at the WHO which is now sponsoring research into the development of new drugs and diagnostic tests for sleeping sickness (and other neglected diseases). But another approach would be to provide incentives that would allow private businesses to recoup their investment costs in ways that do not require the very poor to pay with money they do not, and probably never will, have.

The expenditures of pharmaceutical companies on advertising and promotion is similarly problematic when so many diseases go untreated. It has also been estimated that the amount of money the WHO spends on tackling obesity is about five percent of what the global food industry spends on marketing junk food to children. The bottom line seems to be that there is more money and profit in research and development for health conditions that affect the developed world compared to those that affect the developing one. The situation is complicated by the fact that many of the issues affecting illness and health in the developing world are more to do with 'public health issues' such as clean water, sanitation, diet, etc. Involvement in those aspects of health are relatively less profitable and also less 'sexy' or 'attractive' than being a world leader specializing in a disease which requires much technology and/or expensive drugs and treatment.

The Gap in Vaccine Development

Another area included within the 10/90 gap is vaccine development. One review focused on 11 poverty-promoting infections that have, to date, been largely neglected in

¹⁹ Yamey G, Torreele E. The world's most neglected diseases. *BMJ*. 2002;325:176-177.

¹⁸ Tropical Disease Research.

terms of vaccine development.²⁰ The authors used the DALY (disability adjusted life year) scale to compare the impact of these diseases. For example, HIV/AIDS results in loss of 84.5 million DALY annually; malaria, 46.5 million DALY; and the neglected tropical diseases, 56.6 million DALY. Among these diseases, three have vaccines that have been developed to the point where Phase 1 or 2 trials having commenced (as of 2006).

The infecting organisms themselves have not been neglected, but have received extensive scientific study because of their 'exotic biology.' As a result, the complete genome has already been identified for the causative agents of seven of the eleven neglected diseases: amebiasis (*Entamoeba histolytica*), Chagas disease (*Trypanosoma cruzi*), leishmaniasis (*Leishmania major*), leprosy (*Mycobacterium leprae*), trachoma (*Chalmydia trachomitis*), leptospirosis (*Leptospira interrogans*), and treponematoses, a group of infections related to syphilis (*Treponema pallidum*). ²²

The barriers to vaccine production are not scientific. 'Instead, our technical ability to produce neglected disease vaccines has outpaced the social and political will needed to translate scientific discoveries into products.' The review concluded that 'clinical development has not progressed for many of the antipoverty vaccines because of the absence of commercial markets and, therefore, industry interest.' Once again, the global institutional order that creates incentives for health care research and development does not provide the incentives needed to combat the disease burden experienced by poorer people around the world.

The Broader Gap

The 10/90 gap cannot be addressed by simply getting more pharmaceutical companies to invest more heavily in developing drugs and vaccines for neglected diseases. ²⁴ Global health inequalities are part of the larger problem of global poverty. Almost one-quarter of all human beings live below the international poverty line, of which 1.2 billion (1200 million) live on less than one US dollar per day. ²⁵ About 1 billion people live without access to clean drinking water. Every year, about 18 million people living below the poverty line die prematurely from poverty-related causes. That is about 50,000 people per day, or 2,000 per hour. Every 80 minutes, the same number of people

²¹ Renslo, 701.

²⁰ Hotez.

²² Hotez, 5795.

²³ Hotez, 5791.

²⁴ Stevens P. *Diseases of Poverty and the 10/90 Gap*. London: International Policy Network; 2004. Available at: www.fightingdiseases.org/pdf/Diseases_of_Poverty_FINAL.pdf. Accessed August 22, 2007.

²⁵ Räikkä J. Pogge on global poverty. *Journal of Global Ethics*. 2006;2:111-118.

who died in the Twin Towers on 9/11 die around the world because they happened to be born where they don't have access to the food, water, or health care that those in the developed world take for granted. Just as global poverty won't be eliminated by simplistic handouts, closing the 10/90 gap is part of a much bigger set of problems that have to do with global poverty and justice.

Many question whether it is possible to do anything about global poverty 'in light of the incompetence, corruption, and oppression prevalent in so many poor countries.' Yet there is a strong interconnection between global policies and local authorities. It is important that we start to address things where we can. It is also likely that some will continue to take advantage of the poor. Yet as Pogge says, 'Yes, some will get away with murder or with enriching themselves by starving the poor. But this sad fact neither permits us to join their ranks, nor forbids us to reduce such crimes as far as we can.' 27

The 10/90 gap will not be closed by pharmaceutical research alone. It is part of a much bigger set of problems that have to do with global poverty and justice. The work of Jeffrey Sachs and the Earth Institute exemplifies some of this complexity and how research can contribute to potential solutions.²⁸

Health Research and Global Development

Health research is an important way to combat poverty and promote development. Half of the Millennium Development Goals relate directly to improvements in health, while the other half are directly related to health issues. The Copenhagen Consensus is another approach to combating global poverty issued in 2004 by a group of leading economists. It ranked various proposals on how to obtain the greatest social benefit from development investment. Four proposals achieved the highest priority, with benefits exceeding costs by a factor of ten or more. Of these four, three involved health priorities: controlling the spread of HIV and AIDS, reducing malnutrition through provision of vitamins and other micronutrients, and controlling and treating malaria. The top priorities had changed little in 2006 when the next meeting included 24 United Nations ambassadors and senior diplomats. Health issues dominated the list of priorities as shown in Table 3.

²⁶ Pogge, Real world, 47.

²⁷ Pogge, Real world, 53.

²⁸ The Earth Institute at Columbia University. Available at: www.earth.columbia.edu. Accessed March 12, 2008.

²⁹ United Nations. *Millennium Development Goals*. Available at: www.un.org/millenniumgoals. Accessed March 12, 2008.

³⁰ Copenhagen Consensus Center. *Copenhagen Consensus 2006: A United Nations Perspective*. Denmark: Copenhagen Consensus Center; 2006. Available at: www.copenhagenconsensus.com. Accessed August 24, 2007.

Table 3. 2006 Copenhagen Consensus Priorities for Global Development³¹

Ranking	Area for Development
1	Improving basic health services
2	Community-managed water supply and sanitation
3	Control of HIV and AIDS
4	Control of malaria
5	Improving infant and child nutrition
6	Reducing micronutrient deficiencies

Each of these areas requires research on how best to attain the goal. Too often projects have been implemented and reforms initiated without sufficient understanding of the problems or how best to correct them. The 1990 Commission on Health Research for Development put it this way: 'Research is an essential key to enable people in diverse circumstances to apply solutions that are already available, and to generate new knowledge to tackle problems for which solutions are not yet known.' 32

Health research relevant to the developing world often will need to be conducted in those countries. This will require investing in their health research infrastructure. The 1990 Commission recommended that every country, no matter how poor, invest at least two percent of its national health expenditure to support health research. This would be used to identify essential health priorities and build up long-term health research capacity. Although research from developed countries can often transfer directly into developing countries, this may not always be the case. The diseases in each region may be different, the causes of similar diseases may vary or have complicating environmental factors, and treatments or vaccines may not work as well in the developing countries.

While development of new treatments plays an important part in closing the 10/90 gap, other issues need other types of research. The Commission on Health Research for Development led to the formation in 1998 of the Global Forum for Health Research. ³⁴

³¹ Copenhagen Consensus Center.

³² Commission on Health Research for Development, xvii.

³³ Stringer B. The 10/90 gap. What it is, and what some Canadians are doing to close it. *Canadian Nurse*. 2003;99:10-11.

³⁴ Global Forum for Health Research. *The 10/90 Report on Health Research 2003-2004*. Geneva, Switzerland: Global Forum for Health Research; 2004. Available at: www.globalforumhealth.org. Accessed August 21, 2007.

This organization's major aim is to reduce the 10/90 gap, and focuses most of its attention on improving health systems and infrastructure in developing countries. This requires much more than pharmaceutical development. The Prime Minister of Mozambique stated in 2001 that, 'Well-designed research—not only biomedical but also socioeconomic, behavioural, and political—can help us enormously.' 35

Part of the reason for this is that health and poverty are interwoven and one cannot be addressed without the other. Neither can they be addressed without addressing the socio-economic inequality and injustice that are often underlying causes of poverty. While the poor live in conditions that make them more susceptible to some diseases, and unable to afford many treatments, some diseases promote poverty. An official in Tanzania's Ministry of Health noted that 'a sickly population cannot participate in development.' 36

In the developing world, this is especially the case as much of the disease burden is carried by infants, children, and women. Childhood diseases can slow or stunt children's physical and mental development, thus reducing their potential for productive lives. Many of the diseases are disabling or chronic, impacting people throughout their lives. Some of them cause disfigurement leading to social ostracism (such as leprosy, lymphatic filariasis, or river blindness). All these factors can reduce an individual worker's productivity, and reduce the available workforce in a region. For these reasons, vaccines under development against developing world diseases have been called 'antipoverty vaccines.'³⁷

The fundamental reason why the developed world should promote research on conditions affecting the developing world is moral. People with few resources need our help and we have the resources that could help. Pogge claims his argument should not be necessary. 'If citizens in the affluent countries were minimally decent and humane, they would respond to these appeals and would do their bit to eradicate world poverty. ... and, seeing how cheaply this can be done, we surely have positive duties to do so.'³⁸ He finds support in Peter Singer's 1972 argument that 'if it is in our power to prevent something bad from happening, without thereby sacrificing anything of comparable moral importance, we ought, morally, to do it.'³⁹ Pogge calculates that shifting one percent of aggregate global income from affluent nations to a special fund would be sufficient to eradicate world poverty. This would include \$20 billion to incentivise health research on neglected diseases. And those of us who are affluent could easily afford to do without

³⁵ Ramsay.

³⁶ Ramsay.

³⁷ Hotez, 5787-5799.

³⁸ Pogge, Real world, 35.

³⁹ Singer P. Famine, affluence and morality. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*. 1972;1:231.

that one percent. Not to do so would knowingly unjust and would perpetuate a globally unjust world. In biblical language, 'if you know the good you ought to do and don't do it, you sin.'

However, there are also pragmatic reasons to help. Recent outbreaks of SARS and avian flu demonstrate how quickly infectious diseases can spread around the world. Infectious diseases do not respect borders. Some neglected tropical diseases are already present in developed countries. For example, Chagas disease or American trypanosomiasis is an infection spread by the 'kissing bug' insects that live in substandard Latin American housing. In that region, 16 to 18 million people are thought to have Chagas disease, 90 million are at risk of infection and an estimated 50,000 die from it each year. As a result of emigration, an estimated half a million people in the US are infected with Chagas disease. Yet it is one of the world's most neglected infectious diseases for which there is no effective, affordable, or easy-to-use treatment. As in many cases, helping strangers may ultimately turn out to be a way to help ourselves and our neighbours.

What Can Be Done

As noted throughout this article, global health organizations are making health research a priority goal. The Global Forum for Health Research is an independent international organization focused on narrowing the 10/90 gap. In January 2000, the WHO set up the Commission on Macroeconomics and Health. Its 2001 report documented the deep interconnection between chronic poverty and disease. It explored several ways in which investment in health could lead to economic growth in developing countries. Also in 2000, the United Nations adopted eight Millennium Development Goals aimed at reducing poverty through sustainable development. The sixth of these Goals emphasizes reducing the incidence of infectious diseases, especially HIV/AIDS, malaria, and 'other diseases,' including the neglected tropical diseases.

⁴⁰ James 4:17. *The Holy Bible*. Today's New International Version, Zondervan, Grand Rapids, MI, 2005.

⁴¹ World Health Organization. *The World Health Report 2007: A Safer Future*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2007. Available at: www.who.int/whr/2007/en/index.html. Accessed August 22, 2007.

⁴² Moncayo A. Chagas disease: epidemiology and prospects for interruption of transmission in the Americas. *World Health Statistics Quarterly*. 1992;45:276-279.

⁴³ Hagar JM, Rahimtoola SH. Chagas heart disease in the United States. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1991;325:763-768.

⁴⁴ Yamey.

⁴⁵ Hotez.

⁴⁶ United Nations. *Millennium Development Goals*.

Encouragement of and investment in research to produce new vaccines and treatments for developing country diseases is one important strategy. But new drugs for neglected diseases are not enough to solve this problem. Access to affordable drugs is needed also. One third of the world's population lacks access to the essential drugs that already exist, while in Africa and Asia more than half the populations have no access to these drugs. Eleven million children die annually in the developing world, two thirds of which could be prevented by available, effective, low-cost interventions such as vaccines, vitamins, and insecticide-treated bed-nets. Once drugs are available, systems must be established to ensure they can be acquired, stored and distributed properly. Problems also occur with maintaining the quality of the supply. Counterfeit drugs are widely available in developing countries, causing widespread harm and loss of confidence in modern health care systems. Regulatory systems that effectively guard against such deceptive products need to be developed and maintained.

Combating disease requires broader approaches than just getting drugs to people. For example, eliminating Chagas disease requires struggling against the insects which spread the infection. These insects infest the thatched roofs of substandard housing. Dealing with the disease thus requires addressing housing issues. An infected insect can drop faeces onto people's skin, usually when they are sleeping. If they inadvertently rub the faeces into a bite, wound, eye or mouth, the infection can spread. People also become infected if they eat uncooked food containing contaminated faeces or through mother-to-infant transmission during pregnancy, delivery, or breast-feeding. All these factors have to be addressed simultaneously for progress to be made against the disease. Infection can also be transmitted via blood transfusion or organ donation, which requires vigilance and testing in the health care system. All of these factors must be addressed simultaneously for progress to be made against the disease. Research of different types is needed to determine which approach to each factor works best.

Knowledgeable health care professionals are required to deliver available interventions and information to prevent and treat disease. Health research in developing

⁴⁷ Kerry VB, Lee K. TRIPS, the Doha declaration and paragraph 6 decision: what are the remaining steps for protecting access to medicines? *Global Health*. 2007;3:3. Available at: www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/pdf/1744-8603-3-3.pdf. Accessed August 21, 2007.

⁴⁸ Pang.

⁴⁹ Bell S. From practice research to public policy--the Ministerial Summit on Health Research. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*. 2005;39:1331-1335.

⁵⁰ O'Mathúna DP, McAuley A. *Counterfeit Drugs: Towards an Irish Response to a Global Crisis*. Dublin: Irish Patients' Association; 2006. Available at: www.dcu.ie/nursing/counterfeit_drugs.shtml. Accessed August 25, 2007.

⁵¹ Pécoul B, Chirac P, Trouiller P, Pinel J. Access to essential drugs in poor countries: a lost battle? *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 1999;281:361-367.

⁵² Stringer.

countries requires trained researchers doing research in those countries. People from developing countries can be taken to developed countries for training, but they will need support and resources to set up research. Research is needed to demonstrate which strategies are most effective in developing the desired infrastructure, yet that has rarely been conducted.⁵³

For example, some research reveals an anomalous situation in Cuba which has a developing world economy but health outcomes comparable to many developed countries. Malaria was eradicated in Cuba in 1967 and dengue fever is almost eliminated. Social science research reveals a distinctive health care system that includes highly efficient immunization programs, a constitutional right to treatment, and a largely indigenous pharmaceutical industry focused on supplying essential drugs. While life in Cuba has many problems, including aspects of its health care system, it has made public health a top national priority. Kofi Annan, former Secretary General of the United Nations, stated that Cuba 'demonstrates how much nations can do with the resources they have if they focus on the right priorities - health, education, and literacy.'

Collaborative research projects should be encouraged with researchers in developing countries given significant roles in keeping with their experience and skills. Past 'collaborations' have viewed developing countries as sources of interesting samples or participants for clinical trials.⁵⁷ Early career researchers may need to be mentored, but the goal should be to help them develop local research infrastructure. Open access electronic journals have been a helpful development here, allowing researchers in developing countries to access significant cutting-edge resources.

Even with health care interventions, what is needed may not necessarily be more bench or clinical research. Systematic reviews of health care interventions bring together all the studies that have already been conducted on an intervention and summarize the results. The Cochrane Collaboration is one organization carrying out such reviews. It developed after it was noticed that practice sometimes lagged far behind research because the results of studies were not made available in appropriately summarized formats for busy practitioners. Health care questions of relevance to developing world practitioners

⁵³ Hyder AA, Akhter T, Qayyum A. Capacity development for health research in Pakistan: the effects of doctoral training. *Health Policy and Planning*. 2003;18:338-343.

⁵⁴ World Health Organization. *The World Health Report 2004*.

⁵⁵ Lynch U. Lessons from Cuba: Public health, the missing link in health care governance and practice. November 2006. Paper presented at the 7th Annual Interdisciplinary Research Conference, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland.

⁵⁶ Uriarte M. The right priorities: health, education, and literacy. PBS. 2002. Available at: www.pbs.org/wgbh/amex/castro/sfeature/sf_views_uriarte.html. Accessed September 4, 2007.

⁵⁷ O'Mathúna DP. Ethical issues with health care research in developing countries. *Research Practitioner*. 2007;8:92-100.

could be systematically reviewed to help ensure practice is guided by the best available evidence.

In the area of drug development, changes in licensing and patenting practices have been called for and, in some situations, already begun. Current international patent practice is regulated under the TRIPS agreement. The Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights agreement came into effect in 1995 along with the creation of the World Trade Organization (WTO).⁵⁸ Prior to TRIPS, some countries ignored the patents established by developed countries and produced or imported generic versions of patented drugs. This made important drugs available at reduced cost, although concerns existed about their quality. For example, generic versions of certain antiretroviral drugs were available for \$140 per year compared to \$30,000 per year for patented products.

TRIPS caused intense controversy from the beginning, leading to revisions in 2001 called the Doha Declaration and subsequent clarifications. ⁵⁹ Under these arrangements, members of WTO were permitted to extract 'compulsory licenses' from pharmaceutical companies to manufacture patented medicines or import generic versions. The regulations have sometimes led to negotiated compromises with patent-holders providing patented drugs at significantly reduced prices or choosing to ignore their rights as patent holders. In other situations the interactions have been more like tense stand-offs with developing countries attempting to override patent protections and companies withholding the release of new medications into those countries. ⁶⁰

Thomas Pogge maintains that the problem with TRIPS lies with the way it attempts to address the very high costs of conducting pharmaceutical research. The underlying premise is that inventors of new drugs (pharmaceutical companies) are best reimbursed by giving them a twenty-year monopoly on the production and sale of those drugs. This leads to high prices for the drugs so that the companies can recoup the costs of the years of research on the marketed drug and all the others that never made it to market. The other effect is that other companies are restricted from copying the drug.

Pogge has proposed a completely different system of patenting 'essential drugs' for diseases that predominate in developing countries. The current system would remain in place for drugs for other conditions, with pharmaceutical companies choosing which system to use for each new drug they patent. The new system would allow pharmaceutical manufacturers to recoup their research costs in a way that avoids trying to extract money from sick poor people, or countries with limited resources. However, it would remain to be seen whether the companies would continue to focus on drugs for developed countries or increase investment in research on diseases of the developing countries.

⁵⁸ Kerry.		
⁵⁹ Kerry.		
⁶⁰ Kerry.		

The reform proposal would permit inventors to patent their products, but under a very different basis to current arrangements. Pogge's 'public good strategy' would require inventors to provide open access to all information about their new drug free of charge. In exchange, the inventor company would obtain a multi-year patent which would pay the company from a special public fund in proportion to the impact of the new drug on the global disease burden. Generic products would increase this impact and therefore the return for the patent holder also.

Pogge admits that growing this public fund would be a major challenge. Convincing politicians and the public to use this approach would require a compelling argument. However, Pogge calculates that a sufficiently large fund could be created by redirecting existing subsidies given to pharmaceutical companies and raising an additional \$70 billion. This corresponds to 0.27% of the aggregate gross national income of developed countries, or \$70 per resident.⁶¹

Changing the patent system would be difficult, but Pogge presents a strong argument for the moral basis of his approach. Current practice drives up the costs of drugs for everyone and puts everyone's interests in conflict. People in developing countries see the rich get healthier while they suffer and die. Those with essential drugs are in conflict with those who need them. The companies with research capacity are in conflict with patients, generic manufacturers, and governments concerned about their ill citizens. The thinking behind the new proposal is that it aligns the interests of inventor companies with those of patients and generic manufactures. 'The reform would also align the moral and prudential interests of the inventor firms who, under the present regime, are forced to choose between recouping their investments in the search for essential drugs and preventing avoidable suffering and deaths.'

Already, some limited versions of these approaches to essential drug development are being put into practice. Public-private partnerships (PPPs) have developed where governments and philanthropies provide funding to scientists, usually in academia. In return, the scientists focus on developing world diseases and agree to not-for-profit distribution of any resulting intellectual property in the developing world. When target compounds are developed, the PPPs contract with industry to bring about further developments. Several dozen new potential products for developing country diseases have thus been developed. However, these projects are limited by the relatively small funding available from philanthropies.

⁶¹ Pogge, Human rights, 192.

⁶² Pogge, Human rights, 189.

⁶³ Nathan C. Aligning pharmaceutical innovation with medical need. *Nature Medicine*. 2007;13:304-308.

Another approach has been to urge universities to agree to 'socially responsible technology transfer.' Given that much academic research is ultimately funded by government agencies, universities have been called on to make drugs and medical products invented on campus available for the public good. For example, the AIDS drugs d4T (stavudine) was invented at Yale University and licensed to Bristol-Myers Squibb. After student protests and external pressure, the university and company agreed to allow companies in developing countries to produce a generic version. Similar agreements have been reached with other universities. Underlying the approach is a change in motivating principles similar to that urged by Pogge. Instead of measuring the success of intellectual property agreements in purely financial terms, they are measured in terms of social impact and the public good.

Conclusion

The 10/90 gap has been clearly visible for a number of years. In 1999, an article in the *Journal of the American Medical Association* declared that the attempt to close the gap was 'a lost battle.' The years since then have seen some progress, especially in innovative ways to develop partnerships between industry, academics, funders, and developing countries.

Most attention is directed to the impact of the 10/90 gap on drug development for diseases prevalent in developing countries. The lack of vaccines and effective, safe, low-cost drugs for many conditions is highly problematic. The burden of death, disability, and suffering on individuals and countries is immense. While this occurs, it is difficult to see how research investment in 'me-too' drugs that extend patents and drugs for non-essential conditions can be justified. The only way to do so is by making profit the primary concern of drug development. This, as the presentation has indicated, is precisely the moral problem that needs to be addressed. Part of the solution lies in introducing other incentives alongside profit-making into health research. Ultimately these are based on the moral premise that the wealthy ought to help the poor.

Investment in research should be motivated by more ethically, socially, and politically responsible goals. Drugs are not the same as washing machines or music. Additional concerns should influence decisions on which conditions get research investment. People will not die if washing machines are not made more efficient or if new music is not released. They will if new treatments are not developed and made available to those who need them, not just to those who can afford them.

At the same time, inequalities in global health care exist for numerous reasons. Control of infectious diseases requires more than new vaccines and drugs. Access to already existing interventions must be ensured. Housing, nutrition, water, sanitation, and other social and cultural practices must be made healthier. Health care systems and

⁶⁴ Check E. Universities urged to do more for poor nations. *Nature*. 2006;444:412-413.

⁶⁵ Pécoul.

research infrastructure must be developed. Political and regulatory systems need to be examined and improved.

The 10/90 gap must be addressed on a large scale, but also on a small scale. Research projects focused primarily on the health concerns of developed countries could be expanded to include developing world concerns. Intellectual property agreements could be modified to ensure easier, inexpensive access for developing countries. Educational institutions could pursue collaborative arrangements with research institutions in developing countries to facilitate their development. Mentoring arrangements could be pursued on individual bases. The possibilities are endless. The question is whether the developed world will find the moral courage to help the developing world through health research or will allow the gap to widen further.